

# **Estudos contemporâneos sobre comportamento político: contexto, viés e polarização**

## **Introdução**

O curso aborda estudos recentes na área do comportamento político. A primeira parte é dedicada à nova onda de estudos sobre o impacto dos contextos e eventos históricos, sociais e econômicos sobre as atitudes políticas. A segunda parte contempla os debates sobre vieses de natureza cognitiva e atitudinal e a polarização política. A disciplina conta, ainda, com discussões e exposições sobre as inovações metodológicas contidas nesses estudos.

## **Aula 1 - Apresentação**

### **Parte I - Contexto e Comportamento Político**

#### **Aula 2 – Contexto ontem e hoje.**

Lazarsfeld, Paul, Berelson, Bernard & Gaudet, Hazel. 1965. *The people's choice: how to voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign*. 2ª ed. New York, Columbia University Press.

Huckfeldt, R. R., Sprague, J. (1995). *Citizens, politics and social communication: Information and influence in an election campaign*. Cambridge University Press.

Nie, N. H., Verba, S., & Petrocik, J. R. (2013). *The changing American voter*. Harvard University Press.

Ryan D. Enos. *The Space Between Us: Social Geography and Politics*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2017.

Putnam, R. D. (2015). *Comunidade e democracia: a experiência da Itália moderna*. editora FGV.

King, G. (1996). Why context should not count. *Political geography*, 15, 159-164.

Almond, G. A., & Verba, S. (2015). *The civic culture: Political attitudes and democracy in five nations*. Princeton University Press.

Inglehart, Ronald & WELZEL, Christian. (2009). *Modernização, Mudança Cultural e Democracia: a sequência do desenvolvimento humano*. São Paulo/Brasília: Francis/Verbena.

Putnam, Robert D. (1996). *Comunidade e democracia: a experiência da Itália moderna*. Rio de Janeiro: Fundação Getúlio Vargas.

Moore, B. (1993). *Social origins of dictatorship and democracy: Lord and peasant in the making of the modern world* (Vol. 268). Beacon Press.

Lipset e Rokkan. *Party systems and voter alignments?*

Agnew, J. (1996). Mapping politics: how context counts in electoral geography. *Political geography*, 15(2), 129-146.

Zuckerman, A. S. (Ed.). (2005). *The social logic of politics: Personal networks as contexts for political behavior*. Temple University Press.

Dalton, R. J., & Anderson, C. J. (Eds.). (2011). *Citizens, context, and choice: how context shapes citizens' electoral choices*. Oxford University Press.

Wlezien, C., & Franklin, M. N. (2002). *The future of election studies: Introduction*. *Electoral Studies*, 21.

### **Aula 3 - O contexto nos estudos sobre comportamento político no Brasil**

Baker, A., Ames, B., & Rennó, L. (2020). *Persuasive Peers: Social Communication and Voting in Latin America*. Princeton University Press.

Reis, Fábio Wanderley; LAMOUNIER, Bolivar. Os partidos e o regime: a lógica do processo eleitoral brasileiro. Símbolo, 1978.

Moisés, José Álvaro. Os brasileiros e a democracia: bases sócio-políticas da legitimidade democrática. Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra, 1995.

Singer, A. Os sentidos do lulismo: reforma gradual e pacto conservador. Companhia das Letras, 2012.

Fuks, M. E Marques. P. H. "Contexto e voto: o impacto da reorganização da direita sobre a consistência ideológica do voto nas eleições de 2018". *Opinião Pública*, vol. 26, no. 3, p. 401-430, set.-dez. 2020.

Fuks, Mario; Paulino, R.; Casalecchi, G. (2018). Socialization under different political regimes: A study of the impact of generational experiences on support for democracy in Latin America. *Brazilian Political Science Review*, v. 12, p. 1-22.

Fuks, Mario. (2014). Explicando os efeitos de programas de socialização política: a experiência do Parlamento Jovem no Brasil. *Opinião Pública*. 20 (3): pp. 425-449.

Baker, A., Ames, B., & Renno, L. R. (2006). Social context and campaign volatility in new democracies: Networks and neighborhoods in Brazil's 2002 elections. *American Journal of Political Science*, 50(2), 382-399.

Lamounier, Bolivar. Comportamento eleitoral em São Paulo: passado e presente. In: Os partidos e as eleições no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra, 1975: 15-44.

Samuels, D., Zucco, C. (2015). Crafting mass partisanship at the grass roots. *British Journal of Political Science*.

#### **Aula 4 - Contexto como legado histórico I**

Nunn, N., Wantchekon, L. (2011). The slave trade and the origins of mistrust in Africa. *American Economic Review*, 101(7), 3221-52.

Acharya, A., Blackwell, M., Sen, M. (2016). The political legacy of American slavery. *The Journal of Politics*, 78(3), 621-641.

Homola, J., Pereira, M. M., Tavits, M. (2020). Legacies of the Third Reich: Concentration Camps and Out-Group Intolerance. *American Political Science Review*, 114(2), 573-590.

Voigtlander, N., Voth, H. J. (2012). Persecution perpetuated: the medieval origins of anti-Semitic violence in Nazi Germany. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127(3), 1339-1392.

Rozenas, A., Zhukov, Y. (2019). Mass Repression and Political Loyalty: Evidence from Stalin's 'Terror by Hunger'. *American Political Science Review* (forthcoming).

#### **Aula 5 - Contexto como legado histórico II**

Grosjean, P., Khattar, R. (2019). It's raining men! Hallelujah? The long-run consequences of male-biased sex ratios. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 86(2), 723-754.

Rozenas, A., Zhukov, Y. (2019). Mass Repression and Political Loyalty: Evidence from Stalin's 'Terror by Hunger'. *American Political Science Review* (forthcoming)

Mazumder, S. (2018). The persistent effect of US civil rights protests on political attitudes. *American Journal of Political Science*, 62(4), 922-935.

#### **Aula 6 - Contexto como experiência local I**

Gelman, A., Shor, B., Bafumi, J., Park, D. (2005). Rich state, poor state, red state, blue state: What's the matter with Connecticut?. *Poor State, Red State, Blue State: What's the Matter with Connecticut*.

Sands, M. L., de Kadt, D. (2020). Local exposure to inequality raises support of people of low wealth for taxing the wealthy. *Nature*, 586(7828), 257-261.

SMITH, Amy Erica. *Religion and Brazilian democracy: Mobilizing the people of God*. Cambridge University Press, 2019.

#### **Aula 7 - Contexto como experiência local II**

Newman, B. J., Johnston, C. D., Lown, P. L. (2015). False consciousness or class awareness? Local income inequality, personal economic position, and belief in American meritocracy. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(2), 326-340.

Ogorzalek, T., Piston, S., Puig, L. G. (2020). Nationally poor, locally rich: Income and local context in the 2016 presidential election. *Electoral Studies*, 67, 102068.

Pavlic, R. D. (2021). The Nearness of Youth: Spatial and Temporal Effects of Protests on Political Attitudes in Chile. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 1-23.

DJUPE, Paul A.; GILBERT, Christopher P. **The political influence of churches**. Cambridge University Press

## **Aula 8 - Discussão de Projetos**

Parte II - Atitudes, cognição e polarização

### **Aula 9 – Viés cognitivo**

Jerit, J., & Zhao, Y. (2020). Political Misinformation. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 23, 77-94.

Weitz-Shapiro, R., & Winters, M. S. (2017). Can citizens discern? Information credibility, political sophistication, and the punishment of corruption in Brazil. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(1), 60-74.

Barabas, J., Jerit, J., Pollock, W., & Rainey, C. (2014). The question (s) of political knowledge. *American Political Science Review*, 840-855.

Ahler, D. J. (2014). Self-fulfilling misperceptions of public polarization. *The Journal of Politics*, 76(3), 607-620.

Kahneman, Daniel. (2011). "Thinking, fast and slow." *New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux*.

Lau, Richard P., and David P. Redlawsk. 2001. "Advantages and Disadvantages of Cognitive Heuristics in Political Decision Making." *AJPS* 45:951-71.

Lau, Richard P., and David P. Redlawsk. 2006. *How Voters Decide*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Kuklinski, J. H., Quirk, P. J., Jerit, J., Schwieder, D., & Rich, R. F. (2000). Misinformation and the currency of democratic citizenship. *The Journal of Politics*, 62(3), 790-816.

Quattrone, G. A., & Tversky, A. (1988). Contrasting rational and psychological analyses of political choice. *The American political science review*, 719-736.

Lau, Richard R., and David P. Redlawsk. "Voting correctly." *American Political Science Review* (1997): 585-598.

Flynn, D. J., Brendan Nyhan, and Jason Reifler. "The nature and origins of misperceptions: Understanding false and unsupported beliefs about politics." *Political Psychology* 38 (2017): 127-150.

Jerit, Jennifer, and Jason Barabas. "Partisan perceptual bias and the information environment." *The Journal of Politics* 74.3 (2012): 672-684.

Clifford, S., Jerit, J., Rainey, C., & Motyl, M. (2015). Moral concerns and policy attitudes: Investigating the influence of elite rhetoric. *Political Communication*, 32(2), 229-248.

Jerit, J., & Barabas, J. (2017). Revisiting the gender gap in political knowledge. *Political Behavior*, 39(4), 817-838.

Jerit, Jennifer, Jason Barabas, and Toby Bolsen. "Citizens, knowledge, and the information environment." *American Journal of Political Science* 50.2 (2006): 266-282.

Clifford, S., & Jerit, J. (2018). Disgust, anxiety, and political learning in the face of threat. *American Journal of Political Science*, 62(2), 266-279.

### **Aula 10 - Viés atitudinal**

Guay, B., & Johnston, C. (2020). Ideological asymmetries and the determinants of politically motivated reasoning. *American Journal of Political Science*, 1-60.

Peterson, E., & Iyengar, S. (2021). Partisan Gaps in Political Information and Information-Seeking Behavior: Motivated Reasoning or Cheerleading?. *American Journal of Political Science*, 65(1), 133-147.

Robison, J. (2021). What's the Value of Partisan Loyalty? Partisan Ambivalence, Motivated Reasoning, and Correct Voting in US Presidential Elections. *Political Psychology*.

Guess, Andrew, and Alexander Coppock. "Does counter-attitudinal information cause backlash? Results from three large survey experiments." *British Journal of Political Science* 50.4 (2020): 1497-1515.

Miller, J. M., Saunders, K. L., & Farhart, C. E. (2016). Conspiracy endorsement as motivated reasoning: The moderating roles of political knowledge and trust. *American Journal of Political Science*, 60(4), 824-844.

Bullock, J. G., Gerber, A. S., Hill, S. J., & Huber, G. A. (2015). Partisan Bias in Factual Beliefs about Politics. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*, 10, 519-578.

Mullinix, Kevin J. "Partisanship and preference formation: Competing motivations, elite polarization, and issue importance." *Political Behavior* 38.2 (2016): 383-411.

Lelkes, Yphtach, and Sean J. Westwood. "The limits of partisan prejudice." *The Journal of Politics* 79.2 (2017): 485-501.

Gaines, Brian J., et al. "Same facts, different interpretations: Partisan motivation and opinion on Iraq." *The Journal of Politics* 69.4 (2007): 957-974.

Klar, Samara. "Partisanship in a social setting." *American Journal of Political Science* 58.3 (2014): 687-704.

Bisgaard, M. (2015). Bias will find a way: Economic perceptions, attributions of blame, and partisan-motivated reasoning during crisis. *The Journal of Politics*, 77(3), 849-860.

Kahan, D. M. (2015). The politically motivated reasoning paradigm. *Emerging Trends in Social & Behavioral Sciences*, Forthcoming.

Theodoridis, A. G. (2017). Me, myself, and (I),(D), or (R)? Partisanship and political cognition through the lens of implicit identity. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(4), 1253-1267.

Peterson, E. (2017). The role of the information environment in partisan voting. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(4), 1191-1204.

Donovan, K., Kellstedt, P. M., Key, E. M., & Lebo, M. J. (2019). Motivated reasoning, public opinion, and presidential approval. *Political Behavior*, 1-21.

Achen, C., Bartels, L., Achen, C. H., & Bartels, L. M. (2017). *Democracy for realists*. Princeton University Press.

Edelson, J., Alduncin, A., Krewson, C., Sieja, J. A., & Uscinski, J. E. (2017). The effect of conspiratorial thinking and motivated reasoning on belief in election fraud. *Political Research Quarterly*, 70(4), 933-946.

Pennycook, G., & Rand, D. G. (2019). Lazy, not biased: Susceptibility to partisan fake news is better explained by lack of reasoning than by motivated reasoning. *Cognition*, 188, 39-50.

Peterson, E., Goel, S., & Iyengar, S. (2019). Partisan selective exposure in online news consumption: Evidence from the 2016 presidential campaign. *Political Science Research and Methods*, 1-17.

### **Aula 11 - Polarização I**

Abramowitz, A. I. (2018). *The great alignment: Race, party transformation, and the rise of Donald Trump*. Yale University Press.

Fiorina, M. P. (2017). *Unstable Majorities: Polarization, Party Sorting, and Political Stalemate*. Hoover Press.

Tucker, J. A., Guess, A., Barberá, P., Vaccari, C., Siegel, A., Sanovich, S., ... & Nyhan, B. (2018). Social media, political polarization, and political disinformation: A review of the scientific literature. *Political polarization, and political disinformation: a review of the scientific literature (March 19, 2018)*.

## **Aula 12 - Polarização II**

LeBas, A. (2018). Can polarization be positive? Conflict and institutional development in Africa. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 62(1), 59-74.

Webster, S. W. (2018). Anger and declining trust in government in the American electorate. *Political Behavior*, 40(4), 933-964.

Guan, T., Liu, T., & Yang, Y. (2020). Moving Away From Partisanship: Diversifying the Motives Behind Political Polarization. *Political Studies Review*, 1478929920921650.

Simas, E. N., Clifford, S., & Kirkland, J. H. (2020). How empathic concern fuels political polarization. *American Political Science Review*, 114(1), 258-269.

Skytte, R. (2020). Dimensions of elite partisan polarization: Disentangling the effects of incivility and issue polarization. *British Journal of Political Science*, 1-19.

Hobolt, S. B., Leeper, T. J., & Tilley, J. (2020). Divided by the vote: affective polarization in the wake of the Brexit referendum. *British Journal of Political Science*.

Iyengar, S., & Westwood, S. J. (2015). Fear and loathing across party lines: New evidence on group polarization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(3), 690-707.

Silva BC (2018) Populist Radical Right Parties and Mass Polarization in the Netherlands. *European Political Science Review* 10 (2): 219–244.

Claassen, R. L., & Highton, B. (2009). Policy polarization among party elites and the significance of political awareness in the mass public. *Political Research Quarterly*, 62(3), 538-551.

Wuttke, A., Gavras, K., & Schoen, H. (2020). Have Europeans grown tired of democracy? New evidence from eighteen consolidated democracies, 1981–2018. *British Journal of Political Science*, 1-13.

Fossati, D., Muhtadi, B., & Warburton, E. (2021). Why democrats abandon democracy: Evidence from four survey experiments. *Party Politics*, 1354068821992488.

Grossman, G., Kronick, D., Levendusky, M., & Meredith, M. (2021). The Majoritarian Threat to Liberal Democracy. *Journal of Experimental Political Science*, 1-10.